

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S): Wilfried Blum
SERIAL NO.: 10/798,311
FILING DATE: March 12, 2004
FOR: Method for Remote Evaluation and Management of Vehicular Parts
ART UNIT: 3689
EXAMINER: Fisher, Paul R.
AGENT/ATTORNEY: C. Marc, Benoît / 514 658 4844 #210

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
U.S.A.

Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Interview Summary of May 4, 2010, prepared by the examiner in connection with the above-identified Application.

The phone Interview took place on April 28, 2010. The participants were: Examiner Paul R. Fisher, Marc Benoit (Agent of record), and Albert R. Carbone (Applicant). The applicant explained the background of the invention, and discussed its relevance in the art. The applicant then discussed what they felt the difference was between the terms "assessment" and "grading". The Examiner stated the support for that interpretation was not found in the originally filed specification. The applicant then suggested adding an additional step of "Sorting the vehicular part according to grading", which they have support for found in paragraph 37. The 101 rejections were mentioned by the applicant and the Examiner stressed that a particular machine must be tied to a significant step to pass at this time. The Examiner stated that further search and consideration would be required for this additional step.

Respectfully submitted,

Albert R. Carbone

By:

/C. Marc Benoît/
C. Marc Benoît
(Reg. 50,200)
Agent of Record
Benoît & Côté, s.e.n.c.
Tel: (514) 658-4844, #210
Customer Number: 76753